



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/690,626	10/23/2003	Robert J. Small	060937-217-US	5914

7590 04/21/2008  
MORGAN, LEWIS & BOCKIUS LLP  
1111 PENNSYLVANIA AVENUE, N.W.  
WASHINGTON, DC 20004

EXAMINER
----------

CHEN, KIN CHAN

ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
----------	--------------

1792

MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
-----------	---------------

04/21/2008

PAPER

**Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.**

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

<b>Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b> 10/690,626	<b>Applicant(s)</b> SMALL ET AL.	
	<b>Examiner</b> Kin-Chan Chen	<b>Art Unit</b> 1792	

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Kin-Chan Chen. (3)\_\_\_\_\_.

(2) Laba Karki. (4)\_\_\_\_\_.

Date of Interview: 17 April 2008.

Type: a) ☒ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference  
c) ☐ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☒ No.

If Yes, brief description: \_\_\_\_\_.

Claim(s) discussed: 15.

Identification of prior art discussed: all prior art applied.

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☒ was not reached. h) ☐ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Dr. Karki argued that after phosphonic acids and phosphonates were deleted, Wieserman does not teach the limitations. Dr. Karki also wants to address the importance of "at least a portion of the function groups are no further than about 7 Angstroms from another functional group." The examiner will reconsider his position in view of this interview and the next formal submission.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

/Kin-Chan Chen/  
Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1792

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

Examiner's signature, if required